

13.05.2025
sayandeep
Sl. No. 04
Ct. No. 05

WPA 26042 of 2024

Mukti Binod Das
Vs.
Union of India & ors.

Mr. Supriyo Chattopadhyay
Mr. Sandip Kr. Maiti
.... for the petitioner

Mr. Debasis Saha
Mr. Avirup Roy Sanyal
Ms. Sucheta Pal
..... for the
respondent Nos. 6, 7,8

Mr. Samarjit Roy Chowdhury
Mr. Soumen Bhattacharjee
Ms. Shradhya Ghosh
.....for the UOI

Mr. Bhaskar Prosad Banerjee
Ms. Ashwarya Rajyashree
....for the CGST

1. Today Mr. Banerjee, learned advocate representing the CGST authority would submit that due to communication gap it was represented on 7th May, 2025 that the respondents' advocate was yet to receive any instructions in the matter.
2. Mr. Banerjee would submit that he has already received instructions and having regard thereto, he would submit that let the personal appearance of the respondent No. 3 be dispensed with.
3. Considering the submissions made let the personal appearance of the respondent No. 3 be dispensed with for the time being.
4. List this matter in the **combined monthly list of June, 2025** under the hearing **"For Orders"**.

5. It appears that in the order dated 7th May, 2025 the appearance of Mr. Roy Chowdhury, learned advocate representing the UOI has not been recorded. Let the appearance of Mr. Roy Chowdhury be recorded. Department is directed to incorporate the above correction in the order dated 7th May, 2025.
6. Liberty to mention, if any coercive step is taken.

(Raja Basu Chowdhury, J.)