

D/L 71

11.08.2026
Rohit, A.R.(Ct.)
ct.no.655

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE**

C.R.A 643 of 2016

**Rajib Sarkar
Vs
State of West Bengal**

In the matter of : Rajib Sarkar

..Appellant

Ms. Tuli Sinha

...for the Appellant (HCLSC)

Mr. Amal Kumar Dutta
Mr. Debayan Ghosh

...for the State

1. Ms. Tuli Sinha, learned Advocate who is appointed by the High Court Legal Services Committee to represent the appellant in this case is present.

2. Mr. Amal Kumar Dutta and Mr. Debayan Ghosh, learned Advocates are present before this Court to represent the State.

3. At the very outset it is pointed out by the learned Advocate for the appellant that inadvertently a mistake has been crept up in the order dated 18.09.2025 where her name appeared for the victim/ de-facto from HCLSC. The copy of the appointment letter issued by the Secretary, High Court Legal Services Committee clearly depicts that Ms. Sinha is appointed to represent the appellant/victim. That mistake which has been crept up in the order dated 18.09.2025 is obvious.

4. In the said order where it is written as the “victim/ de-facto from HCLSC” should be replaced by the words “for the appellant/convict”.

5. Department is directed to make the necessary correction in the said order dated 18.09.2025 and this order shall form part of the order dated 18.09.2025.

6. Due to change of panel of Advocates for the State, Mr. Amal Kumar Dutta and Mr. Debayan Ghosh, learned Advocates appeared before this Court to represent the State. Department is directed to hand over a copy of the paper-book to the learned Advocates for the State if their appointment are regularized by the learned Public Prosecutor before the date fixed.

7. Department is further directed to hand over a copy of the paper-book to the learned Advocate who is appointed by Calcutta High Court Legal Services Committee to represent the appellant in this case also.

8. Let the matter appear in the list on 15th September, 2026.

(Prasenjit Biswas, J.)