

03-08-2022
Subha
Item no.30

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Criminal Appellate Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

CRA 643 of 2016

Rajib Sarkar
-vs-
State of West Bengal & Anr.

Mr. Sourav Chatterjee
..for the appellant.

Mr. S. G. Mukherji, Id. PP,
Mr. Imran Ali
Ms. Debjani Sahu
...for the State.

The appeal has been preferred in respect of a conviction and sentence being passed under the POCSO Act.

Mr. Sourav Chatterjee, learned advocate appears on behalf of the appellant representing the High Court Legal Services Authority.

Mrs. Sahu, learned advocate appears on behalf of the State.

The victim is required to be heard at the time of hearing of the appeal. However, the records do not reflect that any service was effected upon the victim.

Accordingly, I direct the learned advocate for the State to communicate with the Officer-in-charge, Indus Police Station who would take steps for effecting service upon the prosecution witness no.1 and prosecution witness no.2 informing them regarding the date of hearing fixed before this court. The concerned officer would obtain an acknowledgment from the Prosecution witness no. 1 and prosecution witness no. 2 and submit a report before this court on the next date fixed for hearing.

List this appeal under the heading “To Be Mentioned” on 18th August, 2022.

All concerned parties shall act in terms of the copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

[Tirthankar Ghosh, J]

