

25
jdt. 17.12.2025
jb.

WPA 24729 of 2025
(Shukur Mahammad vs. State of West Bengal & Ors.)

Mr. Anindya Sundar Das

Mr. Shaunak Ghosh

Ms. Suva Gayen

Ms. Paramita Mondal

Mr. Suman Halder

Ms. Rebika Sultana

.... For the Petitioner

Mr. Jayanta Samanta

Mr. Tapas Ballav Mandal

.... For the State

Mr. Aniruddha Bhattacharyaa

Mr. Uttam Mukherjee

Wasim Akram

....For the Respondent no. 5

The petitioner alleges that the private respondent is coercing him to part with his property. On his refusal, the private respondent is threatening him with dire consequences. The police, in collusion with the private respondent is also pressurizing him to sell out the property to the private respondent.

Opposing such allegation, learned counsel for the private respondent submits that the petitioner has suppressed the fact that an agreement for sale was executed and registered by and between the parties upon payment of earnest money by the private respondent for sale of the property belonging to the petitioner. When the private respondent approached the petitioner for execution of the title deed upon payment of the balance consideration amount, the dispute arose. The private respondent shall put the said contention on affidavit.

It appears that execution and registration of the agreement for sale has not found place in the writ petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to take instructions in this regard.

On prayer of the petitioner, liberty is granted to use an exception to the affidavit, if required.

Let the matter appear under the same heading on 14th January, 2026.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)