



18.11.2024
Item no.02+03
Court No. 50

Khairun Bibi
Vs
Subhas Chandra Roy & Ors.

Ld. Advocate Mr. Sandip Das
appears on behalf of OP.

Ld. Counsel for the OP submits that
due topassing of the order he is suffering
and necessary order be passed against
the appellant.

Perused the office report dated
14.11.2024.

It transpires from the office report
that one substitution application
being CAN 02/2024 in connection with
FAT 620 of 2014 has been filed by the Ld.
Advocate Md. Yusuf Ali on 01.08.2024 on
the death of the sole appellant Sri Sujit
Mandal who died intestate on 30.05.2024
leaving behind his wife, one minor son
namely Sayan Mandal and one minor
daughter namely Sanjana Mandal as his
legal heirs. The said substitution
application has been filed for bringing the
name of the legal heirs of the said
deceased on record by way of
substitution.

A photocopy of death certificate is
annexed with the application being CAN
02/2024 and a vokalatnama on behalf of
legal heirs of the deceased has been filed
after 63 days of the death of the sole
appellant. But, it is recorded in the office
report that there are certain irregularities
which is required to beremoved at once.

Thus, it is apparent that there are
certain irregularities in the substitution
application being CAN 02/2024 filed after
the demise of the sole appellant which is
required to be dealt by the concerned
department.



2023:CHC-AS:15798-DB

Hence, the concerned department is directed to revisit the report within one week from date positively.

(Nabanita Ray)
Registrar Administration (L&OM)