

15.09.2021

Item No.9
Ct.No.34
dc.

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL REVISIONAL JURISDICTION**

**C.R.R. 3418 of 2018
with
CRAN 3 of 2020 (Old No. CRAN 1087 of 2020)
(Via Video Conference)**

**Pooja Bhattacharya
versus
State of West Bengal & Anr.**

In Re: An Application under Section 407 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.

Mr. Kallol Guha Thakurata,
Mr. Aniruddha Sarkar ... For the Petitioner.

Mr. Rana Mukherjee,
Ms. Sujata Das,
Ms. Debjani Sahu ... For the State.

Mr. Anjan Datta ... For the Opposite Party No.2.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner prays for an accommodation for effecting service on the opposite party no.2.

In this revisional application, I find that earlier, the petitioner appeared in person. Subsequently professional lawyer has been engaged.

In view of the prayer advanced by the learned advocate appearing for the petitioner, time, as prayed for is found to be justifiable.

Accordingly, let the matter be listed under the same heading on 29.09.2021.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)