

11 01.10.2024
NB Ct. 17

WPA 24615 of 2024

Muktara Bibi (Khatun)
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Sunit Kumar Roy.
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Santanu Kumar Mitra Id.Sr.Adv.,
Mr. Amartya Pal.
....for the State.

Mr. Sarwar Jahan,
Mr. S. N. Thander.
...for the respondent no.3.

Affidavit of service filed on behalf of the petitioner is taken on record.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. The petitioner obtained a high rank in the panel for appointment to the post of Sahayika. The BDO had approved the post. The Sthayee Samity of Panchayat Samity sanctioned it as well. On 26.06.2010, the Managing Committee of Sishu Siksha Kendra executed an agreement and the petitioner was appointed to the post of Sahayika. Since then, she had been rendering service, but she did not receive a penny for such service. Later on, she came to know that this was due to the pendency of a writ petition. The writ petition was filed by another person, but the same was dismissed for default on 26th April 2024. This has prompted the petitioner to approach this Court now although she had made representations earlier on in the years 2010, 2012 and 2023. It is pertinent to mention that as no payment was being made to the petitioner, she did

not work there after the end of 2014, as per instruction of the BDO.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent no.3 submits that he would like to file a report on the next date of hearing.

Let the Report in the form of an affidavit be filed in this regard within a week after the ensuing puja vacation.

Exception, if any, be taken within a week thereafter.

List this matter for hearing under the same heading two weeks after the ensuing puja vacation.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order may be supplied to the parties expeditiously, if applied for.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)