

38
17.09.2025
Ct. No. 32
Jayanta

CRR/1228/2023
+
IA NO: CRAN/1/2025

SHAHID RAHMAN AND OTHERS
VS
STATE OF WEST BENGAL AND ANR

Adv. Sawar Jahan
Adv. Binay Shaw
....For the Petitioners.

Ld. APP . Madhusudan Sur
Adv. D. Paramanick
....For the State.

Adv. Anjan Bhattacharya
Adv. Anita Shaw
....For the Opposite Party.

In Re:CRR/1228/2023

1. All the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties to this Revisional Application, are present.
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has prayed for stay of the proceeding on the ground of maintainability of the application.
3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the *de facto* complainant as well as State opposes the prayer.
4. Considering the subject matter of the Revisional Application, I am not inclined to stay the proceeding at this stage. The stay application shall be heard along with the Revisional Application.
5. Let the matter appear on 6th November, 2025.
6. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner is at liberty to pray for accommodation before the learned

Trial Court, if in case date is fixed for hearing prior to 6th November, 2025.

7. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State is directed to produce the Case Diary on the date fixed positively.

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)