

01/07
2026

AD/13/18
266312
NANDY

(OP)

**In the High Court at Calcutta
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side**

WPA/23689/2025

KOUSHIK PAL
VS
THE UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Ms. Juin Dutta Chakraborty, Advocate
Mr. Debasish Kundu, Advocate
Mr. Sudepto Kumar Basu, Advocate
Mr. Bidan Modak, Advocate

.....for the Petitioner

Ms. Chandreyi Alam, Advocate
Ms. Runu Mukherjee, Advocate

.....for the Union of India

1. The report filed in terms of the order dated 22.04.2026 is taken on record.
2. The report is not just insufficient and inadequate, but is a clear departure from the order passed by the Hon'ble Division Bench, as well as the order dated 22.04.2026.
3. The report has failed to disclose a simple piece of information; whether the re-measurement of the petitioner in terms of the order dated 04.07.2025 passed by a Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court, had been complied with or not.
4. The compliance was on twofold issues;
 - a) Whether the procedure had been undertaken by respondent no. 6 therein, the Director General of the Central Reserve Police Force? and
 - b) Whether the petitioner's height had been re-measured in terms of the afore-stated order?
5. The report talks of everything else but these two issues and, hence, seems to be an attempt to bypass the orders of the Court.
6. This matter is fixed on **July 27, 2026** to enable the respondent no. 5, to address these issues and file a fresh report by way of an affidavit.
7. List accordingly.

(Reetobroto Kumar Mitra, J.)

