

23.02.2026
Item No.61
Ali
ct. no.9

SA 104 of 2025
IA No: CAN/1/2025

Ranjit Kumar Manna
Vs.
Aparna Manna & Ors.

Mr. R.N. Dutta,
Mr. Sibasis Ghosh,
D N. Mukherjee,
Mr. Sounak Mondal
....for the appellant.

In Re.:CAN 1 of 2025

1. Learned advocate for the appellant is present. None appears for the respondents in spite of service of notice.
2. The application CAN 1 of 2025 is taken up for consideration. The appellant/petitioner has sought for an injunction restraining the respondents/defendants from changing the nature and character of the suit property and/or alienating the same or from creating the third party interest in any manner whatsoever. The appellants have also prayed that the respondents be directed to allow the meter reader to take meter reading from the meter box inside the suit property being consumer ID No. 164023230. It is the contentions of the petitioner/appellant that the respondents are no more carrying on business from the suit property. The said suit property is lying vacant and the

respondents had put a padlock at the entrance of the said suit property on and from January, 2025. The appellant/petitioner has a commercial electric meter situated inside the said property pertaining to plaintiff/petitioner in his shop, namely, M/s Joyshree Medical Hall. As a consequence thereto, the electric reading pertaining to the said commercial electric metre being consumer ID No. 164023230 could not be ascertained and obtained by the officials of West Bengal Electric Distribution Company Limited since March, 2025. Accordingly, WBSEDCL had wrote a letter dated April 1, 2025 to the petitioner with the endorsement door lock premises. It is also contended that on June 23, 2025 the petitioner informed WBSEDCL by letter regarding the inter-state of affair. On the same date WBSEDCL served an average bill according to the previous year a quarterly bill. Again on October 6, 2025 the official of WBSEDCL visited the suit property and considering situation made endorsement door locks premises inaccessible.

3. Upon hearing the learned advocate and considering the facts of the case this court is of the view that as it is submitted by the learned advocate for the appellant that commercial meter which cannot be accessed to by electricity authority is in the suit premises a Special Officer should be appointed to

cause an inspection/enquiry with regard to the location where the said electric meter is fixed and any other features of the property, if any other entrance is there with regard to the said property. The learned Special Officer shall further ascertain as to whether there are other electricity meter apart from the said electric meter. The particulars of all existing meter in the said suit premises should also be recorded. Such inspection shall be carried out upon notice to both the appellant and the respondents and their learned advocates. Intimation should be given to the WBSEDCL authorities. Learned Special Officer shall submit report on 18th March, 2026.

4. Shri Rajsekhar Basu, learned advocate Phone No. 9831543651 is appointed Special Officer at remuneration of 600 G.M. to be paid by the appellant.
5. Let this matter appear on 18th March, 2026 under the heading 'To Be Mentioned'.
6. It is hereby made clear that in the event the respondents do not open the padlock, the learned Special Officer shall remove the padlock and, thereafter, put a padlock after inspection and give intimation to the local police authority about the same and in the event, there is an obstruction with

regard to the discharge of the function necessary police help may be obtained.

7. The affidavit of service filed and the notice intimating about today's date be kept with the record.

(Biswaroop Chowdhury, J.)