

D/L 69
06.03.2025
Court No.14
PRADIP

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
SPECIAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

CPAN 1349 of 2023
In
WPA 15703 of 2022

Moulita Ganguly & Ors.
Vs.
Ahmad Sayeed

Mr. Koushik De
Mr. Sanjib Kumar Dawn

Mr. Arkadipta Sengupta
Mr. Tirthankar Dey

...for the Petitioners.

...for the Contemnor.

1. Affidavit of service filed in Court today is taken on record.
2. Learned advocate representing the contemnor submits that, out of nine petitioners, the offer for temporary accommodation has been accepted by four. There is no response from the rest five.
3. Learned advocate representing the petitioners disputes the submission. It has been submitted that, only four persons were offered and the rest five were not offered any temporary accommodation at all.
4. Learned advocate for the contemnor is directed to hand over a copy of the offer letter to the learned advocate representing the petitioners. The petitioners shall respond to the same prior to the adjourned date.
5. The matter stands adjourned till 3rd April, 2025.
6. Let a copy of the contempt application be served upon Mr. Sounak Bhattacharya, learned advocate who is present in Court today and who represents the Asansol Municipal

Corporation before this Court for taking instruction as to why the building plan proposal submitted by the contemnor has not been proceeded with.

(Amrita Sinha, J.)