

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA  
Criminal Revisional Jurisdiction**

**CRR 4032 of 2024**

**In re :** An application under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure corresponding to Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita.

*-And-*

**In the matter of : Shweta Loharuka**

Mr. Mayukh Mukherjee, Adv.,  
Ms. Sagnika Banerjee, Adv.

... For the Petitioner.

Mr. Suman De, Adv.,  
Mr. Mujibar Ali Naskar, Adv.

... For the State.

1. It is submitted by learned advocate representing the petitioner that on August 21, 2025, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the private opposite parties submitted that a talk of compromise was going on between the parties, which is a blatant lie. At any point of time, no talk of compromise was ever made between the parties.

2. None appears to represent the private opposite parties. Notice of intimation dated February 23, 2026 was received by the learned advocate of the private opposite parties. Let the said notice as handed over by the learned advocate for the petitioner in Court be taken on record.

3. Learned advocate representing the State denies the submission advanced by the learned advocate for the petitioner.

4. Be that as it may, in absence of the private opposite parties, no order can be passed on this issue. Hence, the matter be listed before the regular Bench for passing necessary orders.

5. All parties shall act on the server copies of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

6. Criminal Section is directed to supply certified copies of this order to the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all necessary formalities.

**[Chaitali Chatterjee (Das), J.]**