

M/L 113  
04.03.2025  
Court No.14  
PRADIP

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA  
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION

WPA 22748 of 2023  
(CAN 1 of 2024)  
(CAN 2 of 2024)

Surajit Basu  
Vs.  
United Bank of India having amalgamated with Punjab  
National Bank & Ors.

*Mr. Debanik Banerjee*  
*Mr. Sidharta Basu*  
*Mr. Nilanjan Bhattacharya*

*...for the Petitioner.*

*Ms. Parna Roy Choudhury*

*...for the PNB.*

1. The original petitioner has expired on 13<sup>th</sup> October, 2023. An application has been filed by the heirs and legal representatives of the original petitioner seeking substitution. The applicants claim that there has been a delay of 84 days in filing the application for substitution. A prayer has been made to condone the delay.
2. The Court has considered the application seeking substitution and the application explaining the delay in filing the said application.
3. Being satisfied, both the applications stand allowed.
4. CAN 1 of 2024 and CAN 2 of 2024 stand disposed of.
5. The department is directed to carry out the necessary amendment in the cause title of the writ petition.
6. Learned advocate representing both the parties are directed to revert with updated instruction on the adjourned date.
7. List the matter on 25<sup>th</sup> March, 2025.

**(Amrita Sinha, J.)**