

19.02.2026

Item No.1

Ct.No.35

dc.

Rejected

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION**

C.R.M. (M) 2195 of 2026

In Re : An Application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with Amdanga Police Station Case No. 598 of 2023 dated 17.10.2023 under Section 395 of the Indian Penal Code and charge-sheet submitted under Sections 395/397/412 of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In Re : **Aman Singh and others**

... Petitioners.

Mr. Milon Mukherjee, Sr. Adv.,
Mr. Soumik Ganguli,
Ms. Priti Burman

... For the Petitioners.

Mr. Antarikhya Basu,
Ms. Madhumita Basak

... For the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the State has submitted an affidavit of the Investigating Officer wherein it has been contended that four witnesses are to be examined.

In view of the affidavit submitted and the plight expressed by the learned advocate appearing for the petitioners that for 2 years 4 months the accused persons are in custody, I direct that there should be compliance of the terms of affidavit submitted before this Court. Accordingly, learned Trial Court would conclude the evidence of all the prosecution witnesses within a period of two months from the next date so fixed.

At this stage, the prayer for bail of the petitioners is **rejected.**

Petitioners would renew their prayer for bail after the aforesaid time period is over.

Affidavit submitted by the Investigating Officer of the case be kept with the record.

The application for bail, being CRM (M) 2195 of 2025, is, thus, disposed of.

All concerned parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)