

Item No.
SL.778.
SD
29.1.26

**In the High Court at Calcutta
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side.**

WPA 22955 of 2025

**Patit Ghosh
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.**

Mr. Pankaj Halder
Mr. Tapas Manna
Mr. N. Bera For the Petitioner.

Mr. Arindam Chattopadhyay
Ms. L. Chatterjee For the DPSC, Nadia,

Mr. Dwarika Nath Mukherjee
Mr. Manik Lal De For the State

This writ petition complains of inaction on the part of the respondent no. 4 i.e. the Sub-Divisional Collector/sub-divisional Officer, Ranaghat.

It is submitted by the learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner that although by the order dated April 16, 2025 being passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in WPA 7728 of 2025, the Sub-divisional Officer Sadar Krishnanagar, District Nadia had been directed to take steps for considering the petitioner's representation in accordance with law, yet the actual authority for considering the petitioner's representation would be the respondent no. 4.

It is submitted that the petitioner has made a representation before the respondent no. 4 but the said respondent has not considered the said representation in terms of the direction passed by this Court in WPA 7728 of 2025. Since the petitioner had himself made the earlier representation before the wrong authority and since the Sub-Divisional Officer, Ranaghat being the respondent no. 4 had never been directed to consider the petitioner's representation therefore no fault can be attributed to the said respondent.

There is no representation on behalf of the respondent no. 7 despite service.

The petitioner shall serve a further notice on the respondent no. 7 intimating the said respondent that the matter shall be taken up next on February 5, 2026.

In the meantime, the learned Advocate appearing for the State respondent shall take appropriate instructions in the matter.

List this matter on 5th February, 2026.

(Om Narayan Rai, J.)