

**WPA 22292 of 2025**

**Imran Ali**  
**Vs.**  
**The State of W.B. & Ors.**

Mr. Pratip Kumar Chatterjee  
Ms. Maitrayee Chatterjee ...for the petitioner.

Mr. Jasojeet Mukherjee  
Ms. Sreyanshi Majumdar ...for the State.

Exception used by the petitioner to the report in the form of affidavit submitted by the State is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite having qualified in the written examination for appointment to the post of Sahayak and appearing for the viva voce, he was not selected for the post. The marks obtained by him and other candidates, both successful and unsuccessful, were also not published in the official website of the authority.

Learned counsel submits that result of the examination held in 2018 was not published for which some of the candidates approached this Court in a writ petition being WPA 16339 of 2021. In the said writ petition, the Court was informed that the records of the earlier tests were lost for which a fresh recruitment process was required to be initiated by cancelling the earlier process.

By an order passed on 3<sup>rd</sup> November, 2022, a coordinate Bench of this Court disposed of the writ petition directing the Additional Chief Secretary to the Government of West Bengal, Department of Panchayat and Rural Development to take a decision and also to adopt remedial measures as per the law.

The order was carried in appeal before an Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court being MAT 1826 of 2022 wherein the State submitted a report indicating that the OMR sheets of the recruitment process were already evaluated and preserved in sealed trunks and kept in Berhampore Treasury-1 strong room under double lock. The selection process was done and a draft score sheet prepared.

By an order passed on 12<sup>th</sup> April, 2023, the Hon'ble Division Bench observed that since 1,20,633 aspiring candidates participated in the selection process and in the written examination and since the OMR sheets were intact, the process that was earlier initiated should be carried to its logical conclusion in accordance with the applicable rules and law.

Learned counsel submits that the petitioner submitted an application under the Right to Information Act, 2005 seeking disclosure of examination related information which was denied by the authority by a reply issued on 19<sup>th</sup> August, 2025 on the ground of pendency of the issue before this Court.

The petitioner seeks a direction upon the authority to furnish the marks obtained by the petitioner and other documents pertaining to the selection as well as cancellation/withdrawal of the notification issued on 23<sup>rd</sup> July, 2025 publishing the names of the finally selected candidates.

The State is directed to submit a further report annexing relevant documents pertaining to the recruitment in the category of Sahayak including the marks obtained by the candidates therein, both successful and unsuccessful.

Let the matter appear under the same heading on 11<sup>th</sup> September, 2026.

**(Suvra Ghosh, J.)**