

19.09.2025
Sl. No.27(DL)
Ct. No.42
srm

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE**

W.P.A. No. 22292 of 2025

Imran Ali

Versus

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Pratip Kumar Chatterjee, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Maitrayee Chatterjee,
Ms. Pampa Dey (Dhabal)

...for the Petitioner.

Mr. Tanmay Kumar Ghosh,
Mr. Avijit Sarkar

...for the State.

1. Affidavit-of-service filed on behalf of the petitioner is taken on record.
2. Mr. Pratip Kumar Chatterjee, learned Senior Advocate appearing for the petitioner submit that the petitioner applied for the post of *Sahayak* against Employment Notification No.1834/P&RD dated 21st September, 2017. The selection process was stalled. Thereafter, in terms of order passed in MAT 1826 of 2022 on 12th April, 2023, the process of recruitment was taken up by the authority concerned. The petitioner appeared in the written examination and were successful. They were called for *viva voce*/interview. Since results were not published, the other applicants/candidates filed a contempt petition being CPAN 78 of 2024. Pursuant

to the order passed in the contempt application, the final results were published on 23rd July, 2025. In the final list the name of the petitioner did not figure. The marks obtained by the candidates were not shown in the final list. The petitioner filed an application under the Right to Information Act seeking for final marks obtained by the petitioner, cut-off marks for the said examination and marks obtained by the other selected candidates. However, the said information was not provided. Being aggrieved by the action of the respondent authorities, the present writ petition is preferred. He prays for an interim order of stay.

3. Mr. Tanmay Kumar Ghosh, learned Senior Government Advocate appearing for the State submits that the examination has been conducted in terms of order passed in the appeal. He seeks to file affidavit-in-opposition.
4. The issues raised in the present writ petition can be decided upon exchange of affidavits. Accordingly, interim order of stay of recruitment is turned down at this stage.
5. Let such affidavit-in-opposition be filed by State-respondents within a period of two weeks on reopening of this Court after the ensuing Puja vacation. Advance copy of such affidavit-in-opposition be served upon the learned Advocate for

the petitioner. Reply, if any be filed within a week thereafter.

6. List this matter on **18th November, 2025** under the heading “**Returnable Motion**”.

(Bivas Pattanayak, J.)