

06.03.2026
Ct. No. 22
Sl. No.8
SG

In the High Court at Calcutta
Criminal Revisional Jurisdiction

C. R. R. 4248 of 2025

In Re: ***Dipanjana Patra.***

... Petitioner

Mr. Ayan Bhattacharya, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Anirban Dutta,
Mr. Younus Sultan.

... for the petitioner

Mr. Madhab Kumar Ray Chowdhury,

...for OP No. 2

Mr. Joydeep Roy,
Mr. Yaser Ammar Ismail.

...for the State

1. The matter is taken up today for further consideration in terms of the directions passed by this Court on 05.02.2024.
2. By the aforementioned order, this Court had specifically permitted the Opposite Party No. 2 to file a written objection to the main Criminal Revision within a period of one week, with a corresponding liberty granted to the Petitioner to file a reply thereto within two weeks thereafter.
3. When the matter is called on, Ld. Counsel appearing for the Petitioner submits that the directed timeline could not be adhered to. It is further represented that the Petitioner has been laboring under severe mental trauma, which has incapacitated the timely preparation and execution of the necessary pleadings. On such grounds, an extension of time by a further period of three weeks is prayed for.

4. Having considered the submissions and the medical exigencies cited, this Court is of the opinion that a rigid adherence to the timeline would cause undue hardship, whereas a brief extension would subserve the interest of a fair and complete adjudication. In the interest of justice, the prayer for extension is allowed.

5. Let the written objection be filed by the Opposite Party No. 2 within three weeks from date.

6. This extension is granted as a last opportunity to the parties to complete their pleadings.

7. Let the matter appear under the same heading on 27.03.2026.

(Uday Kumar, J.)