

23.03.2026.
Item No. 9.
Court No. 652
ap

W.P.A. 21966 of 2023

**Santanu Halder
Versus
The State of West Bengal & Ors.**

Mr. Mit Guha Roy,
Ms. Sneha Saha.

...For the petitioner.

Ms. Mitali Mukherjee,
Mr. Subrata Bhattacharyya.

...For the State.

Mr. Tapas Halder,
Mr. Partha Sarathi Basu.

...For the Zilla Parishad,
South 24 Parganas.

1. In spite of directions of this Court made vide order dated February 17, 2026, none appears to clarify if the private respondent in the case has been served with a notice of pendency of this case before this Court, by the Officer-in-charge, Bishnupur Police Station, South 24 Parganas.
2. Mr. Guha Roy, learned Advocate for the petitioner appears through virtual mode whereas Mr. Tapas Halder, learned Advocate appears for the Zilla Parishad, South 24 Parganas.
3. Mr. Halder submits that no building plan has yet been sanctioned or even received by the Zilla Parishad, South 24 Parganas.
4. The respondent no.7 has already submitted its report supporting the allegations made by the writ petitioner in the instant case.

5. According to Mr. Guha Roy, learned Advocate for the petitioner that during the pendency of the representation filed by the petitioner before the respondent Zilla Parishad dated January 2, 2023, the alleged illegal construction have been proceeded in full swing and is on the verge of completion.

6. Considering the same, the respondent Zilla Parishad is directed to furnish a report on the next date of hearing as regards the status of the construction being alleged to have been made and almost completed by now.

7. Let an advance copy of the report submitted by the Zilla Parishad be handed over to Mr. Guha Roy, learned Advocate for the petitioner in course of the day.

8. Let this matter be adjourned today and be listed two weeks hence.

(Rai Chattopadhyay, J.)