

22 **09.09.**
2026
Ct. No. 18

Ab

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE.

WPA 23996 of 2026

Kalipada Paul
Vs.
The Calcutta State Transport Corporation and others.

Mr. Dilip Kumar Chatterjee,
Mr. Durga Prosad Mukherjee.
... for the petitioner.

Mr. N.C. Bihani,,
Mr. Soumyajit Ghosh.
... for the CSTC.

Mr. Shiv Chandra Prasad.
... for the respondent nos. 5 and 6.

1. The affidavit of service filed today is taken on record.
2. The petitioner is aggrieved that in spite of having exercised option for higher pension, the same has not been given effect to by the concerned authorities.
3. Mr. Ghosh, learned Advocate appearing for the respondent nos. 1 to 4, the employer, submits that he is unable to ascertain whether the employer had given its consent and had filed the form jointly for and on behalf of the petitioner.
4. Mr. Prasad, learned Advocate appearing for the respondent nos. 5 and 6, submits that unless there is a joint application, the same cannot be considered by the authorities. There is no such joint application on record with the authorities.
5. In view of the afore-stated, the employer, the

respondent nos. 1 to 4 herein as well as the respondents 5 and 6 will file an affidavit to such extent. Let such affidavit be filed by September 25, 2026; reply thereto, if any, by October 6, 2026.

6. List the matter on October 15, 2026 under the heading "Hearing".

(Reetobroto Kumar Mitra, J.)