

FAT-489 of 2016

05.05.2025

Item no.09

Court No.50

Sheikh Riyajuddin & Ors.

VS

Sheikh Hasmat Ali & Ors.

Ld. Advocate Ms. Gargi Goswami appears for appellant.

The superintendent of 'FA' Section by his report dated 02.05.25 as stated that no fresh vokatnama has been filed by the Ld. Advocate for the appellant as well as for the respondent till date after removing the defects as mentioned in the office report dated 17.04.25.

On the basis of the said report a order was passed by this court on 21.04.25 where the appellants as well as the respondents were directed to take proper steps to rectify the said defects crept in the vokatnamas filed on behalf of the appellants as well as the respondents.

There from it transpires that in respect of appellant nos. 4 and 5, the thumb impression were verified by a person who has no relation with the instant FA matter.

Ld. Advocate for the appellant Ms. Gargi Goswami inadvertently said thumb impression of appellant nos. 4 and 5 were verified by her registered law clear and prays liberty to verify the same by her.

Considered.

The prayer of the Ld. Advocate for the appellant is allowed.

Ld. Advocate Ms. Gargi Goswami is given liberty to verify the thumb impression of appellant nos. 4 and 5.

The Ld. Advocate for the respondent is hereby directed to comply the order dated 21.04.25 in respect of the vokatnamas they have filed.

(Kallol Chattopadhyay)
Registrar Administration (L&OM)