

**21.04.2025
Item no.05
Court No.50**

**Sheikh Riyajuddin & Ors.
Vs.
Sheikh Hasmat Ali & Ors.**

None appears.

Seen the written note of the Superintendent of 'FA' Section wherein it transpires that the following defects are still subsisting in the instant appeal:

1. No fresh vokaltnama has been filed on behalf of the respondent till date, after removing the defects as mentioned in the office report dated 04.04.25.
2. It further appears that one vokalatnama has been filed by Ld. Advocate Ms. Gargi Goswami on behalf of the appellants vide filing no. 1/24 dated 20.11.2024. After through scrutiny of the said vokalatnama it appears that the vokalatnama is in form in respect of appellant nos. 1, 2, 3, 6 & 8. But, in respect of reappellant nos. 4 & 5, it appears that the left thumb impression of appellant nos. 4 & 5 are verified by one 'MSatyabrata Jana' who has no relation with the instant first appeal matter.
3. It is also to be mentioned here that S.K. Faridullah, Learned Advocate has filed one vakalatnama on behalf of the respondent nos. 1 through a caveat bearing no 3276/2016 dated 19.08.2016 which is incorporated in the case record.
4. Thereafter, Learned Advocate Mr. Syed Shamsul Arefin has filed one vakalatnama on behalf of the respondent nos. 1,4 & 5 under filing no, A17493 dated 18-12-2018 (flag B). On scrutiny of the said vakalatnama it is found that the vakalatnama is in form in respect of respondent nos 4 & 5. But in respect of respondent no 1 no NOC has been taken from the erstwhile learned advocate Sk. Faridullah.

5. It is pertinent to mention that the aforesaid vakalatnama filed by the Learned Advocate Mr. Syed Shamsul Arefin is executed by one Sk. Abdul Mabud who has no existence in the cause title of the memorandum of appeal.

In view of the above, Ld. Advocate for the appellant is praying for an accommodation for one week, which is allowed.

List this matter on 05th of May, 2025 positively.

(Kallol Chattopadhyay)
Registrar Administration (L&OM)