

23 **09.09.**
2026

Ct. No. 18

Ab

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE.

WPA 24001 of 2026

Koushik Biswas
Vs.
The State of West Bengal and others.

Mr. Md. Hafiz Ali,
Mr. Manujendra Narayan Roy,
Mr. Gourav Halder.

... for the petitioner.

Mr. Pulakesh Bajpayee.

... for the State.

1. The affidavit of service filed today is taken on record.
2. The petitioner is aggrieved that his service continuity has been disrupted without any basis. As a casual worker, the petitioner has rendered continuous service of 240 days, as it appears from the attendance sheet, which has been relied upon by the authority. The benefits accrued to him have been denied holding that the payment voucher of some of the years reflect that the petitioner has not rendered service for 240 days and indeed has rendered service lesser than that.
3. There is clearly a discrepancy between the attendance sheet and the payment vouchers.
4. In these circumstances, I direct the respondent no. 6 to file a report by way of an affidavit explaining such discrepancy and also as to why the petitioner's

service for 240 days in a year should not be considered.

5. Let such report be filed by September 23, 2026.
6. List this matter on September 24, 2026 under the heading “Motion”.

(Reetobroto Kumar Mitra, J.)