

15 **18.09.
2025**

Ct. No. 06

Ab

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CIVIL REVISIONAL JURISDICTION.**

CO 3348 of 2025

**Ganesh Gayen and another
Vs.
Asit Mistry and others.**

**Mr. Arup Kumar Das,
Mr. Bapin Baidya,
Mr. Rajarshi Ghosh.**

... for the petitioners.

**Mr. Kartick Kumar Ray,
Mr. K. M. Hossain.**

... for the opposite parties.

1. It, prima facie, appears to this Court that the learned Judge of the Appellate Court passed an order of injunction without considering the title deeds and the record of rights produced by the petitioners in support of their title and possession.
2. The certified copy of the order of the learned trial Judge filed in Court today is taken on record and the same is tagged along with the record of this case.
3. List the matter in the Monthly List of December 2025 under the heading "Motion".
4. Upon going through the documents annexed to this civil revision application, it appears that the name of the petitioners has also been recorded in the record of rights in respect of the suit property. The record of rights is a document of possession. The said document was not taken into consideration while rejecting the prayer for temporary injunction.
5. The opposite parties also claimed to be in possession by virtue of patta granted in their favour by the competent authority.
6. Both the parties are directed to maintain status quo with regard to the possession, nature and character of the suit property till the disposal of the civil

revision application.

(Hiranmay Bhattacharyya, J.)