

WPA 23287 of 2026

Sk. Giasuddin

Vs.

CESC Limited & Anr.

09.09.26

D/L

Sl-05

Ct. 07

(Samar)

Mr. Indranil Halder,

.... for the Petitioner.

Mr. Shoham Sanyal,

....for the CESC Ltd.

1. The petitioner alleges arbitrary action on the part of the respondent CESC authorities in not effecting supply in favour of the petitioner.
2. Mr. Sanyal, learned advocate appearing for the CESC authorities hands up to Court a letter dated May 30, 2026 issued by the District Engineer, Calcutta Central District, CESC Limited and submits that the petitioner's request for electricity supply has been declined on the ground that the petitioner is already receiving supply from existing connection and that the petitioner has made the application with the intent of splitting the load.
3. Mr. Halder, learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not received any such letter.
4. It is further submitted that in any case, the letter copy thereof has been handed up to Court does not indicate the source wherefrom the petitioner is getting supply. He insists that his client i.e. the writ petitioner is not receiving supply at all.

5. In such view of the matter, CESC authorities would conduct a fresh inspection and file a report indicating the specific source wherefrom the petitioner is getting supply.
6. Let such inspection report be filed on the returnable date.
7. List this matter on September 22, 2026.

(Om Narayan Rai, J.)