

M/L64
03.08.2026
Bpg.

C.R.M. 8899 of 2018

In Re: An application for Bail under Section 439(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure;

And

In the matter of : Paritosh Mondal. ...petitioner.

Mr. Arijit Banik.
...for the State.

The application for cancellation of bail was preferred in respect of Gosaba Police Station Case No.112 of 2018 dated 07.05.2018. The order under challenge was dated 02.08.2018 which was passed in an application for anticipatory bail. The application initially appeared on 05.10.2018 when none represented the petitioner. On 30.11.2018 also petitioner was not represented. Thereafter, on 30.03.2026 none represented the petitioner and lastly on 01.04.2026 petitioner was not represented.

As none appears on behalf of the State, Mr. Arijit Banik, learned advocate, is directed to represent the State. His appearance before this Court be regularized by the concerned authorities.

Having considered the time period which has passed in the meantime, I am of the opinion that there has been change of circumstances. As such, no interference is called for.

Accordingly, CRM 8899 of 2018 is dismissed.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)