

15.09.2025  
Item No.25  
Ct. No.26  
CHC

**MAT 1460 of 2025**  
**IA NO: CAN/1/2025**

**Biswanath Mondal alias Bishwanath Mondal & Anr.**  
**Vs.**  
**The West Bengal State Electricity Distribution**  
**Company Limited & Ors.**

Mr. S. Panchal, Advocate  
Mr. S. Barman, Advocate  
...for the appellants

Ms. Susmita Chatterjee, Advocate  
...for the WBSEDCL

Mr. Sourojit Dasgupta, Advocate  
Md. Sahanaj Parveen, Advocate  
...for some of the private respondents

1. Appeal is the behest of the writ petitioners in W.P.A.9378 of 2024 and directed against an order dated August 5, 2025.
2. By the impugned order, learned Single Judge disposed of the two writ petitions being WPA 9378 of 2024 filed at the behest of the appellants before us and WPA 25272 of 2024 filed at the behest of some of the private respondents before us.
3. Learned advocate appearing for the appellants submits that, the West Bengal State Electricity Distribution Company Limited (WBSEDCL) did not consider alternative ways to carry electricity. He submits that,

the District Magistrate as also the learned Single Judge erred in not arriving at a finding that alternative way leave was available to WBSEDCL and also erred in not directing the WBSEDCL to carry electricity through the alternative way leave.

4. The writ petitioners in WPA 25272 of 2024 WBSEDCL are represented.
5. WBSEDCL is carrying electricity through high tension line by installing pillars. Some of the pillars were installed on land belonging to the appellants.
6. It is the contention of the appellants that, there is an alternative way leave. It is for WBSEDCL to undertake the exercise to carry electricity in the optimum way. Land owner is entitled to compensation if in the event of the installation of any pillars is on the land belonging to any person.
7. Complaint by the appellants to the District Magistrate resulted in a reasoned order of the District Magistrate. Learned Single Judge took into consideration the right of the WBSEDCL to carry electricity by installation of pillars over land belonging to the appellants. Learned Single Judge found that, appellants can be adequately compensated.
8. It is not the Writ Court to find alternative way leave for the carriage of electric supply. Moreover, appellants before us are entitled to compensation in accordance with law.
9. We find no ground to interfere in the instant appeal.

10. **MAT 1460 of 2025** along with connected application  
are **dismissed** without any order as to costs.

**(Debangsu Basak, J.)**

**(Md. Shabbar Rashidi, J.)**