

CRA 523 of 2015

In the matter of : **Bharat Chandra Mete**

....Appellant

Mr. Tapas Kumar Ghosh,
Mr. Tanmay Chowdhury

....For the Appellant

Ms. Zareen N. Khan,
Ms. Nandini Chatterjee

....For the State

1. Appellant is represented by the learned Advocate.
2. State is represented.
3. The instant appeal is preferred at the behest of the appellant challenging the impugned judgment and order of conviction passed by the learned Trial Court wherein this appellant was found guilty for commission of offence punishable under Section 409 IPC and he was sentenced to suffer rigorous imprisonment for six months along with a fine of Rs. 5,000/- (Rs. Five Thousand) and in default of payment of time to undergo further simple imprisonment for one month. It is said by the learned Advocate that the appellant has already returned the misappropriated money and he retired from the service. So, the convict prays that the sentence as passed in the impugned judgment may be dealt with leniently.
4. In support of his contention learned Advocate for the appellant cited a decision of Hon'ble Madhya Pradesh High Court rendered in case of State of Madhya Pradesh Vs. Prempal dated 22nd November, 1990.
5. Let this matter appear in the list on **9th July, 2025** at **2:00 P.M.**

(Prasenjit Biswas, J.)