

11.02.2026
Court No.13
Item No. 2 to 4
sp

MAT 1409 of 2025
With
CAN 2 of 2025

State Bank of India
Vs.

Anil Kumar Jain and Ors.

With
MAT 1420 of 2025
With
CAN 3 of 2025

State Bank of India
Vs.

Anil Kumar Kedia and Ors.

With
MAT 1421 of 2025
With
CAN 3 of 2025

State Bank of India
Vs.

Prakash Chandra Agarwal & Ors.

Mr. Mukul Lahiri, Id. Senior Advocate,
Mr. Anirban Pramanick,
Mr. Punarbasu Nath,
Ms. Bhagyasree Dey.

... For the appellants.

Ms. Noella Banerjee,
Mr. Dipanjan Dey.

... For the Respondents in MAT 1409 of 2025..

Mr. Ayan Bhattacharjee, Id. Sr. Adv.
Mr. A. Chowdhury,
Mr. Dipanjan Dey.

... For the Respondents in MAT 1420 of 2025.

Mr. Sanjay Banerjee,
Mr. Dipanjan Dey.

... For the Respondents in MAT 1421 of 2025

Ms. Priyanka Tibrewal,
Ms. Garima Rajjada.

... For the Union of India in MAT 1409 of 2025.

Mr. Ashok Chakraborty, Id. A.S.G.
Mr. Anirban Mitra.

... For the CBI.

Mr. Kishore Dutta, ld. A.G.,
 Mr. Swapan Banerjee, ld. A.G.P.,
 Ms. Sumita Shaw,
 Mr. S. Chatterjee.

... For the State in MAT 1420 of 2025.

Mr. Kumar Jyoti Tiwari, ld. Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Arijit Majumdar,
 Ms. Shreyashi Sarkar.

... For the Union of India in MAT 1420 of 2025 and MAT
 1421 of 2025.

1. Accommodation is sought by the appellants in
 MAT 1409 of 2025 and MAT 1421 of 2025.

2. Mr. Ayan Bhattacharjee, learned Senior Advocate
 appearing for the respondents in MAT 1420 of 2025 is
 ready with his argument. He, however, submits that
 since there are other counsels representing the
 appellants in the other matters, the matters may take
 up on the adjourned date.

3. List these matters on 18th February, 2026 under
 the heading “Specially Fixed” at 10.30 a.m.

4. Affidavit-in-opposition filed in MAT 1409 of 2025
 in Court today is taken on record.

5. It is made clear that this Court would hear the
 parties on the maintainability of the appeal, inter alia,
 in view of the decision of the Supreme Court in the case
 of ***Ram Kishan Fauji Vs. State of Haryana*** reported
 in ***(2017) 5 SCC*** 533 and also on the question of
 propriety of the interim order dated 2nd May, 2025 in
 terms of Order 41 Rule 33 of the C.P.C. The broad

parameters of the scope of hearing have been indicated orally to the counsels for the parties.

6. List these matters accordingly.

(Rajasekhar Mantha, J.)

(Ajay Kumar Gupta, J.)