

**D/L – 383**  
**11/09/2025**  
Court No.28  
S.Kundu

**CRR 3804 of 2025**

**Preeti Gupta & Anr.**  
**Vs.**  
**State of West Bengal & Anr.**

Mr. Apalok Basu  
Mr. P. Majumder  
Mr. Ayush Bhatia  
Mr. R. Bhatia

...for the petitioners.

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits as follows. The petitioner no. 1 is the Company Secretary and the petitioner no. 2 is the lead franchisee Manager of the company in question. The de-facto complainant holds a franchisee of the said company. The main allegation in the FIR is that the petitioners opened another franchisee in the same locality. First, there was a provision for the same in the agreement itself. Secondly, the dispute is purely civil in nature. No prima facie case is made out. Any further continuation of the impugned proceeding shall be an abuse of the process of law.
2. Let the petitioners serve a copy of the application upon the State through the learned Public Prosecutor and upon the opposite party no. 2 by speed post with AD

within a week and file affidavit of service to that effect on the next date of hearing.

3. List this matter as Contested Application one week after the ensuing Puja Vacation.
4. The impugned proceeding shall remain stayed till three weeks after the ensuing Puja Vacation.
5. Parties shall be at liberty to pray for extension or modification or vacating of the interim order upon notice to the other side.
6. The State shall produce the case diary on the next date.
7. Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be given to the parties upon compliance with requisite formalities.

**(Jay Sengupta, J.)**