

11.09.2025  
Item no.21  
Court No.50

FMAT 365 of 2025

**Rakesh Parui**  
**VS**  
**Partha Pramanick @ Paramanick and**  
**Ors.**

None appears to represent any of the parties to this appeal.

Perused the report of the superintendent of SR section.

In the said report the following defects are still persisting:

1. The classification of appeal furnished in words being erroneous calls for rectification.
2. The preamble is bereft of the name of the Ld. Trial Judge.
3. The memo of appeal is bereft of valuation statement.

In view of the above, Ld. Advocate for the appellant is hereby directed to remove the defects within in course of this day.

**Registrar Administration (L&OM)**