

Dd 11 8.05.2026

CPAN/1094/2019
KISMAT ARA BEGUM
VS
SRI BIPLAB CHAKRABORTY
IA NO: CAN/1/2022, CAN/2/2024
in
WPA/7196/2017
KISMAT ARA BEGUM
VS
STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.
IA NO: CAN/1/2020(Old o:CAN/1605/2020)

Mr. Subrata Ghosh, Advocate

... .. For the alleged contemnors

1. None appears for the petitioner.
2. Alleged contemnors are presented.
3. Learned advocate appearing for the alleged contemnor submits that, in a public interest litigation being WPA(P) 13 of 2024, an investigation into the affairs of the municipality was directed. He submits that, Criminal Investigation Department submitted a report which speaks of huge scam. He submits that, due to pendency of the present contempt petition, appropriate steps could not be taken by the municipality.
4. Municipality is at liberty to take appropriate steps, if criminal liabilities are found on the basis of the report or otherwise, as against the persons involved. Pendency of the contempt petition should not be construed to be a bar in that regard.
5. The municipality will submit a report in the form of an affidavit on the next date.
6. List the contempt petition in the **monthly list of June, 2026.**

(Debangsu Basak, J.)