



D/L.07.
February 26, 2026.
KAUSHIK

**WPA No. 20865 of 2017
CAN 1 of 2019 (Old CAN 5795 o2019)**

Sri Narayan Hazra
Vs.

The Chairman, WBSEDCL & Ors.

Mr. S. Bhattacharyya
... for the petitioner

Dr. Mihir Kundu
... for the WBSEDCL

CAN 1 of 2025 (Old CAN 5795 of 2019) is an application for restoration. In view of the sufficient cause and cogent reasons disclosed by the petitioner, the order dated 14th June, 2019 is recalled. CAN 1 of 2025 (Old CAN 5795 of 2019) is disposed of. WPA 20865 of 2017 is restored to its original file and number.

By consent of the parties, WPA 20865 of 2017 is taken up for hearing.

This is the second round of litigation pertaining to the subject premises.

Briefly, the application filed by the petitioner is for an electricity connection in respect of plot no. 2824 of Mouza-Belan, Post Office – Belan, Police Station – Galsi.

The petitioner complains of inaction of the WBSEDCL in providing the above electricity connection.

On behalf of WBSEDCL, it is submitted that in a connected writ petition being WPA 2272



of 2022 (*Uttam Das Vs. West Bengal State Electricity Distribution Company Limited* (WBSEDCL) & Others, a Coordinate Bench had directed installation of the electricity connection only after payment of the dues in respect of an earlier meter to the self-same premises. It is significant to mention that the dues on account of the very same premises are in excess of Rs.3,00,000/-. On behalf of the petitioner, it is submitted that the earlier case was in the name of his brother and he is not liable to pay the same.

In view of submissions made on behalf of the parties and in the light of the order dated 7th November, 2022 passed in WPA 2272 of 2022, there is no scope of passing any order in favour of the petitioner. The entire case of the petitioner that the disputed bill is in the name of his brother and that he is not a beneficiary is without any credible or cogent proof. Significantly, the earlier meter was in respect of the same premises and the entire story of the petitioner is an afterthought.

In view of the above, the petitioner is directed to comply with the earlier direction for payment of the entire dues in respect of the same premises. There is no equity in favour of the petitioner which warrants passing any order in his favour.

In view of the above, WPA 20865 of 2017 stands dismissed.

(Ravi Krishan Kapur, J.)