

D/L – 332
21/08/2025
Court No.28
S.Kundu

CRR 3605 of 2025

**Sankudeb Panda & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal**

Mr. Sekhar Kumar Basu, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Kallol Mondal
Mr. Mayukh Mukherjee
Mr. Koustav Lal Mukherjee
Ms. Sagnika Banerjee
Ms. Sarmistha Basak
Mr. Samrat Mondal

...for the petitioners.

Mr. Kalyan Bandopadhyay, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Rudradipta Nandy
Mr. Iqbal Kabir
Mr. Arka Kr. Nag

...for the State.

1. Leave is granted to file supplementary affidavit. The same as filed in Court, is taken on record.
2. Learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits as follows. The only allegation against the petitioners is that despite being informed about an order passed by this Court regarding regulations imposed upon the certain demonstrations, the petitioners did not stop and proceeded beyond the designated area. No *prima facie* case is made out as would be evident from a plain reading of the First Information Report and any further continuation of the impugned proceedings shall be an abuse of the process of law.

3. Learned senior counsel appearing for the State opposes the application.
4. Let the affidavit-in-opposition be filed within a period of three weeks. Affidavit-in-reply, if any, be filed within a period of one week thereafter.
5. List this matter for hearing after Puja Vacation.
6. The impugned proceedings shall remain stayed till one week after Puja Vacation.
7. The State shall produce the case diary on the next date of hearing.
8. Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be given to the parties upon compliance with requisite formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)