

D/L 39

30.09.2026
Rohit, A.R.(Ct.)
ct.no.35

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE**

C.R.M (M) 2022 of 2026

**Shafik Mondal
Vs
The State of West Bengal**

In Re: An Application for Bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with **ST Case No. 12 of 2026 and S.C. No. 76 of 2026** arising out of **Deganga** Police Station Case No. **546** of **2025** dated **07.10.2025** under Sections **103(1)/238** of the BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of : Shafik Mondal

...Petitioner.

Mr. Atindranath Misra

...for the Petitioner

Mr. Krishnendu Bhattacharya, A.P.P
Ms. Priti Kar Bagchi

...for the State

Mr. Arka Ranjan Bhattacharya
Ms. Ambiya Khatun
Mr. Asif Ikbal Baidya

...for the De Facto Complainant

1. Learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is similarly situated as the other accused person who has been granted bail in C.R.M. (M) 1353 of 2026.

2. Learned advocate for the State opposes the prayer for bail and draws the attention of the Court to the recoveries which has been effected as well as the statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C of one of the witnesses.

3. Learned Advocate for the De facto complainant is present and opposes the prayer for bail.

4. I have taken into account the locus of the present petitioner with the other accused who has been granted bail. I am of the view that custodial detention of the petitioner is unwarranted. As such prayer for bail is allowed.

1. Accordingly, Petitioner, namely, **Shafik Mondal** shall furnish bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand Only) with two sureties of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) each, one of whom must be local to the satisfaction of Learned CJM, Barasat, North 24 Parganas.

2. If on bail, petitioner shall be physically present on each and every date fixed before the learned Trial Court and shall not leave the jurisdiction of District of North 24 Parganas without the prior permission of the learned Special Court.

3. The observations made above are only restricted for adjudication of the bail application and should not be considered a final opinion on the merits of the case.

4. Accordingly, CRM (M) **2022** of 2026 is **allowed**.

5. All parties shall act in terms of server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

6. Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)