

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA

SPECIAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
(Appellate Side)

CPAN 1311 OF 2025

IN

W.P.A. 2729 OF 2025

**RAJESH KUMAR PANDEY
VS.**

**MR. RANDHIR KUMAR, THE DIRECTOR OF PENSION,
PROVIDENT FUND AND GROUP INSURANCE, WB &
ANOTHER**

Mr. Sakti Pada Jana, Adv.
Mrs. Sudipta Pramanik, Adv.

...for the Applicant

Mr. Nilay Baran Mandal, Adv.

...for the alleged contemnor no.1

Mr. Avirup Mondal, Adv.

...for the alleged contemnor no.2

1. Affidavit-of-service filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record.
2. Learned advocate representing the alleged contemnor no.2 has submitted that alleged contemnor no.2 *vide* memo dated 18th December, 2025 addressed to the Director of Pension, Provident Fund and Group Insurance being alleged contemnor no.1, requested release of an amount of Rs.1,97,462/- and if necessary a revised pension payment order be issued in favour of the applicant for due compliance of the order passed by this Court on 20th May, 2025.
3. By order dated 20th May, 2025, Court directed the concerned State authorities to release admissible retiral dues including pension to the applicant

without insisting the applicant to refund alleged excess drawn amount.

4. Therefore, at this stage, alleged contemnor no.1 cannot claim refund by issuing pension payment order dated 16th June, 2025.
5. Respondent no.1 is directed to take necessary steps for release of Rs.1,97,462/- taking note of the memo dated 18th December, 2025 issued by the alleged contemnor no.2, immediately.
6. List the contempt application under the same heading for further consideration in the Monthly Combined List of February, 2026.

(SAUGATA BHATTACHARYYA, J.)