

ML 06
06.04.2026
Ct. No. 11
KCP

MAT 1193 of 2025
with
IA No. CAN 1 of 2025
(Madhumita Ghorai Vs. The State of
West Bengal & Ors.)

Mr. Shuvro Prokash Lahiri
Mr. Rajesh Naskar
Mr. Ankan Mondal

.... For the appellant

Mr. Swapan Kumar Dutta, Ld. Govt. Pldr.
Mr. Dipankar Das Gupta
Mr. Sourav Mondal

..... For the State respondents

Mr. Sunit Kr. Roy

..... For the SSC

Mr. Dutta, learned Government Pleader appearing for the State respondents, has pointed out that the District Inspector of Schools (S.E.), South 24 Parganas is a necessary party. However, erroneously, the District Inspector of Schools (S.E.), South 24 Parganas has not been made a party.

Mr. Lahiri, learned advocate appearing for the appellant prays for leave to bring the District Inspector of Schools (S.E.), South 24 Parganas in the array of the respondents and to make necessary correction for that purpose. Such prayer is not opposed by Mr. Dutta and accordingly, the prayer is allowed.

Leave is granted to the appellant to incorporate the District Inspector of Schools (S.E.), South 24 Parganas in the cause title of the stay application and the memorandum of appeal as an added respondent.

Mr. Dutta submits that he is also instructed to represent the District Inspector of Schools (S.E.), South 24 Parganas.

Mr. Dutta prays for extension of time to file affidavit-in-opposition to the application for stay. Such prayer is allowed. Let such affidavit-in-opposition to the stay application be filed within a period of four weeks. Reply thereto, if any, be filed within a period of two weeks.

Liberty to mention for enlistment and hearing of this matter after expiry of the period specified towards exchange of affidavits.

(Partha Sarathi Chatterjee, J.) (Tapabrata Chakraborty, J.)