

72-74.
25-11-2025
(ct. no.34)
debajyoti

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Criminal Appellate Jurisdiction**

CRA 478 of 2016

**Rabindra Chaurasia @ Ravindra Chorasiya
Vs.
The State of West Bengal**

W I T H

CRA 472 of 2018

**Sri Sandip Naskar @ Babu
Vs.
The State of West Bengal**

W I T H

CRA 505 of 2016

+

IA NO:CRAN/1/2017 (Old No:CRAN/797/2017)

**Samir Naskar
Vs.
State of West Bengal**

Mr. Aniruddha Bhattacharyya, Adv.
... For the Appellant in CRA/478/16.

1. Mr. Bhattacharyya, learned advocate, appears for the appellant in CRA 478 of 2016 and submits that he has no communication as of date with the appellant in this case. It transpires that on the last occasion also, on behalf of the appellant, Mr. Bhattacharyya, learned advocate, led by his senior Mr. Sourav Chatterjee, submitted before this Court the same fact.

2. It further transpires from the record that an administrative notice was issued pursuant to the direction of this Court dated July 07, 2025 and the report of the Officer-in-Charge was placed before this Court which reveals that the appellant in CRA 478 of 2016, namely, Rabindra Chaurasia @ Ravindra Chorosiya, was not found

at the given address. Therefore, in the above circumstances, this Court is of the view that further adjournment is not necessary on this issue.

3. However, since the appellants in all the three appeals are not being represented, the Member Secretary, Calcutta High Court Legal Services Committee, is requested to take necessary steps for making appointment of learned advocates to represent the appellants in these three appeals.

4. It further appears that the appellant in CRA 505 of 2016, namely, Samir Naskar has already served out the sentence and for that reason, a report was called for from the State in order to verify the said fact. But no report has been filed before this Court nor anyone has appeared to represent the State today. The appeals are pending since 2016 and are listed for disposal. This Court expresses displeasure for non-appearance of the State in this matter when the appeals are of 2016.

5. Learned Public Prosecutor, High Court, Calcutta, is to be intimated regarding this fact so that learned counsel must be present to represent the State on the next date.

6. Registry is directed to send a copy of this order to the learned Public Prosecutor, High Court, Calcutta, for information and taking necessary action as well as the Member Secretary, Calcutta High Court Legal Services Committee forthwith.

7. Let the matter appear in the **Combined Monthly List of January, 2026.**

[Chaitali Chatterjee (Das), J.]