

28.11.2025
Item no.11
Court No.50

The Shibpur Coopeerative Bank Ltd.
VS
Provash Paul & Ors.

Ld. Advocate Atindra Nath Misra appears for appellant.

The Superintendent, SR section has mentioned in his report dated 27.11.2025 that the Ld. Advocate for the appellant did not take any steps to remove the defect, namely, the names of respondent nos. 8 and 9 mentioned in the impugned order find place in the cause title of the memo of appeal.

Heard, Ld. Advocate for the appellant who submits that subsequent to the election held in the cooperative bank, the post of Respondent no. 8 has become inactive and that of respondent no. 9 which is abolished and the Chairman who was the respondent no. 7 in the impugned order has become the highest authority of the appellant cooperative bank.

He further submits that the appellant has filed an application registered as CAN 01/25 and in paragraph no. 2 of the said application, the fact stated above has duly been delineated.

Considering the submission of the Ld. Advocate for the appellant and other materials on record including the report of the department concerned, let, the appeal be placed before the Hon'ble bench having determination for necessary order.

Registrar Administration (L&OM)