

78 07.10
79 2024
akb Ct. No. 237

C.R.R. 2690 of 2022
Siddhanth Jain & Anr.
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Anr.
With
C.R.R. 2691 of 2022
Sanjay Kumar Jain
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Anr.

<i>Mr. Ayan Bhattacharya</i>	
<i>Mr. Abhijit Roy Chowdhury</i>	<i>...For the Petitioners</i>
<i>Mr. Anand Keshari</i>	<i>...For the State</i>
<i>Mr. Sandip Chakrabarty</i>	
<i>Ms. Paramita Sahu</i>	<i>...For the State in CRR 2691 of 2022</i>

Affidavit-of-service filed on behalf of the petitioners is taken on record. State is represented.

Upon hearing the learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners, the interim order granted earlier is extended for a further period of six weeks after reopening of the Court after Puja vacation or until further order whichever is earlier.

Let the matters appear under the heading ‘Contested Application’ four weeks after reopening of the Court after Puja vacation.

(Dr. Ajoy Kumar Mukherjee, J.)