

16.07.2026

Serial no. 20

[Srimanta]

Ct. No. - 29

CRR 2840 of 2026

**RISHI MANOJ MANSUKHANI
-VS-
STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ANR.**

*Mr. Sandipan Ganguly, Sr. Adv.,
Mr. Debasish Ghosh,
Mr. Lalit Baid,
Ms. Sanjana Shaw,
Mr. Kuldeep Das, Advocates*

... ... For the Petitioner.

1. Petitioner herein is aggrieved with the orders dated 12.06.2026 and 04.07.2026 passed in CGR Case No. 408/2023 under Sections 34/498A/406/506 of the Indian Penal Code read with Sections 3/4 Dowry Prohibition Act presently pending before learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Alipore, South 24-Parganas. By the impugned orders learned Court below had granted interim bail to the petitioner, inter alia, imposing a condition that the accused shall not leave the jurisdiction of the Court without taking prior permission from the Court by the order dated 12.06.2026. The petitioner herein had made a prayer before the said Court for relaxation of the condition but by the second impugned order dated 04.07.2026, the Court below has rejected such prayer.
2. Being aggrieved by both the orders, learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that in the instant case, interim bail was granted to the petitioner after

submission of charge-sheet against the petitioner. It is submitted that the process of further investigation is still going on. The entire business of the petitioner is at Gujarat and the petitioner is the main working Director to look after the said business in Gujarat. Learned Court below has failed to appreciate the prejudice and irreparable injury likely to be suffered by the petitioner due to such onerous condition imposed in granting interim bail to the petitioner. The impugned order has subjected the petitioner to immense hardship and also financial loss before commencement of trial. He further submits that the physical appearance of the petitioner may not be required during continuance of the further investigation as he has already obtained bail from the Court below. Therefore, learned Counsel for the petitioner prayed for setting aside of the aforesaid impugned condition.

3. Having heard learned Counsel for the petitioner, the application is admitted.
4. Petitioner is directed to serve a copy of application upon the State through the office of Public Prosecutor, High Court, Calcutta and upon opposite party no. 2 through speed post intimating next date of hearing and to file affidavit-of-service on the returnable date.
5. Let the matter be listed under the heading 'To Be Mentioned' on 19th August, 2026.

6. Learned Counsel for the petitioner prays for interim relief.
7. Having heard learned Counsel for the petitioner and that the petitioner has made out an arguable case which requires adjudication on merit, let impugned orders dated 12.06.2026 and 04.07.2026 which pertain to imposition of condition that the petitioner shall not leave the jurisdiction of the Trial Court without prior permission from the Court be hereby stayed for a period of eight weeks or until further order, whichever is earlier. However, petitioner shall remain present on every date before the Court whenever he shall be asked to appear physically by the Court below.

(Dr. Ajoy Kumar Mukherjee, J.)