

17.04.2026

Ct. No. 38

Sl. No. 2

Moumita

In The High Court at Calcutta

Special Civil Jurisdiction

Appellate Side

Contempt

WPCRC 193 of 2025

in

WPA 16260 of 2024

Injamul Haque Sardar & Anr.

-Vs.-

Reshminara Bibi Mallick, The Pradhan,
Chatta Gram Panchayat, South 24
Parganas, Kolkata & Anr.

Md. Younush Mondal

...For the petitioner

Mr. Radhamohan Roy

...For the Alleged Contemnor no. 1

The petitioner alleged till now the reasoned order has not been passed by the alleged contemnor/Pradhan of the Panchayat.

Mr. Younush Mondal, leaned advocate appears for the petitioner.

Mr. Radhamohan Roy, learned advocate appears for the alleged contemnor no. 1.

Alleged contemnor no. 2/B.D.O. is not represented.

It is submitted on behalf of the alleged contemnor no. 1 that B.D.O. is the appropriate authority as the area concerned is semi urban area and Pradhan is not the plan sanctioning authority for construction.

On the prayer of the learned advocate appearing for the alleged contemnor no. 1, the

matter shall appear under the heading '**Contempt Application**' on **April 24, 2026**, for further consideration when he shall produce all the relevant materials in support of his contention.

The petitioner shall also serve a copy of the today's order upon the alleged contemnor no. 2 and file an affidavit-of-service on the next day.

In the event, the alleged contemnor no. 2 is not represented on the next day and this Court finds that the direction of this Court has been violated by the alleged contemnor no. 2, this Court may proceed to issue rule against the alleged contemnor no. 2.

(Aniruddha Roy, J.)