



2026:CHC-AS:663

11.09.2025
Ct. No. 550
Sl. No.24
SG

In the High Court at Calcutta
Criminal Revisional Jurisdiction

C. R. R. 2236 of 2023

In Re: ***Shantonu Moitra & Anr.***

... Petitioners

Mr. Jayanta Narayan Chatterjee, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Munmun Tewary,
Ms. Sohini Adhikary,
Mr. Supreem Sarkar,
Ms. Arpita Biswas.

... for the petitioners

Mr. Madhusudan Sur, Id. A.P.P.
Mr. Manoranjan Mahata.

...for the State

1. Learned Counsel for the revisionists submitted judicial decisions. Let the said judgments be taken on record.
2. None appears for the opposite party no. 2. It appears from the order dated 03.09.2025 learned Counsel for the opposite party no. 2 had sought for accommodation on that day for commencing his submission on the next date. However, the learned Counsel does not appear today.
3. Learned Counsel for the State has concluded his submission and he has also placed the duplicate case diary before this Court. Let it be kept with the record.
4. It appears that as the learned Counsel for the opposite party no. 2 does not appear today, I am not inclined to conclude the hearing. However, the opposite party no. 2 is being given the last opportunity for placing her submission on the next date and if the learned Counsel for the opposite party no. 2 does not appear on that day, this Court would be constrained to pass appropriate order.



5. Let the matter appear on 17.09.2025.

(Apurba Sinha Ray, J.)