

In The High Court at Calcutta
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION
(Appellate Side)

W.P.A. 15182 OF 2026

SK. BAUDDIN
VS.
THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL AND OTHERS
.....

Mr. Santosh Kumar Chakraborti, Adv.
...For the Petitioner
Mr. Partha Chakraborty, Adv.
Ms. Riya Ballav, Adv.
...For the State
Mr. Anujit Mukherjee, Adv.
Mr. P. Chanda, Adv.
...For the Private Respondents

1. Learned advocate representing the respondent nos.7 to 17 submits that the issue involved in this writ petition is not related to “Police in-action”.
2. Petitioner and State respondents are represented by learned advocates.
3. It appears from prayer (a) that mandamus is sought for thereby directing the concerned respondent authorities not to give effect or further effect to the orders passed by the concerned Sub-Divisional Officer and concerned Block Land & Land Reforms Officer.
4. Hence, writ petition stands released due to want of determination and be placed before the appropriate bench.
5. Leave is granted to the learned advocate representing the petitioner to amend the Presentation Form and pro-forma page of the writ petition and reclassify the same appropriately.

(SAUGATA BHATTACHARYYA, J.)