

16.07.2026  
Item No.04  
Court No.01  
Avijit Mitra

**CPAN 848 of 2026**

**International Institute of Nursing & Research, Kalyani & anr.  
-Versus-  
Professor Dr. Mukul Bhattacharjee & ors.  
in  
MAT 1026 of 2026**

Mr. Pratik Dhar, Sr. Adv.,  
Mr. Samir Halder,  
Mr. Snehal Sinha

..... for the petitioners/applicants

Mr. D.N. Maiti,  
Mr. A. Santra

....for the contemnors

Mr. Nilotpal Chatterjee (through virtual mode)  
....for the respondent no.5

Heard Mr. Dhar, learned senior advocate appearing for the applicants/petitioners.

The contempt application was last taken up for hearing on 8<sup>th</sup> July, 2026 when the Court was informed that the University had preferred a Special Leave Petition (in short, SLP) before the Hon'ble Supreme Court and the same was made returnable for hearing on 10<sup>th</sup> July, 2026. In view of such submissions, the contempt application was adjourned.

Today Mr. Maiti, learned advocate appearing for the alleged contemnors submits that immediately after the SLP was dismissed on 8<sup>th</sup> July, 2026, the Controller of Examinations (in-Charge) of the University had issued a notice detailing the schedule of the examinations that

would be held for the students. Let the notice, as placed, be kept on record.

From the said notice it appears that the dates of examinations have been fixed on 21<sup>st</sup> July, 2026 and 23<sup>rd</sup> July, 2026. Answering our query, Mr. Maiti submits that as per the order of this Court the provisional registration has also been granted.

It is quite well settled in law that till an order passed by a competent Court is set aside and/or stayed and/or varied and/or modified, the said order remains valid and subsisting and is required to be complied with, both in law and in spirit. For mere pendency of SLP, the alleged contemnors ought not to have denied to comply with a valid and subsisting order dated 26<sup>th</sup> June, 2026. *Prima facie*, it thus appears that the alleged contemnors have violated the order of this Court.

In view thereof, the alleged contemnor nos.1 to 4 are directed to file their independent affidavits-in-opposition explaining as to why they did not comply the order passed by this Court within the period, as specified.

Let such affidavits-in-opposition be filed by 30<sup>th</sup> July, 2026. Replies thereto, if any, be filed by 6<sup>th</sup> August, 2026.

List the matter for further consideration in the daily supplementary list of this Court on 11<sup>th</sup> August, 2026.

**(Partha Sarathi Chatterjee, J.) (Tapabrata Chakraborty, A.C.J.)**