

05.08.2026
Serial no. 277
[G.S.D]

CRM (M) 1681 of 2026

In re : An Application for Bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973/under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 in connection with POCSO Cse No. 42 of 2026 arising out of Medinipur All Women Police Station Case No. 08 of 2026 dated 06.02.2026 u/s 64(2)(m)/65(2)/351(2)/3(5) of the BNS and Section 6 of the POCSO Act.
-And-

In the matter of : Sk. Akram @ Sk. Babai

Mr. Arindam Jana	... Petitioner(s)
Mr. Yuvraj Chatterjee	
	... for the Petitioner(s)
Mr. Madan MohanRay	
Ms. Pramita Banerjee	... for the State-respondent(s)

Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for about six months; charge-sheet has already been submitted but charges till date have not been framed.

Learned advocate for the State opposes the prayer for bail and draws the attention of the court to the statement of the defacto-complainant under section 183 of the BNSS.

Having considered the same and the fact that the victim is aged about six years, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

Hence, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is
Rejected.

However, I direct that the petitioner would renew his prayer for bail after the evidence of the defacto-complainant and the victim is over. The learned trial court would put in efforts to complete the aforesaid process within a period of three months from the date of communication of this order.

Accordingly, CRM (M) 1681 of 2026 is **dismissed**.

Pending application(s), if any, is also disposed of.

Parties to act on a server copy of this order duly collected from the official website of the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties subject to compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)