

Ct.No.19 D/L 09.07.2026
02

Saikat
Mukherjee

WPA/14417/2026
KANIKA SAHA
VS.
UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

Mr. Sattwik Bhattacharyya, Adv.
Mr. Aashutosh Bhattacharyya, Adv.
Mr. Aritra Roy, Adv.
Mr. Titas Niyogi, Adv.

....For the Petitioner

1. Affidavit-of-service as filed on behalf of the petitioner is taken on record.
2. None appears on behalf of the Respondent-State and/or the Respondent-Union of India, though on the earlier occasion on 25.06.2026 the Respondent-State and the Respondent-Union of India are represented by their respective learned counsel.
3. On careful perusal of the entire materials as placed before this Court, it appears that the instant writ petition is required to be heard upon exchange of affidavits.
4. Such being the position, the contesting respondents are directed to file affidavit-in-opposition within two weeks hence, after serving advance copy of the same to the learned advocate-on-record for the writ petitioner.
5. Affidavit-in-reply, if there by any, needs to be filed two weeks thereafter.

- 6.** Let the matter be listed on 13th August, 2026, under the heading 'Adjourned Motion'.
- 7.** Interim order as passed earlier is extended till the last day of November, 2026, or until further order, whichever is earlier.
- 8.** Since the learned advocates for the Respondent-State and Union of India are absent today, learned advocate for the writ petitioner is hereby requested to communicate the server copy of this order to the said two learned advocates under a covering letter and to file proof thereof on the adjourned day.

(PARTHA SARATHI SEN, J.)