

Dd 5 8.05.2026

CPAN/717/2019
M/S. B M CONSTRUCTION
VS
SRI BIPLAB CHAKRABORTY, THE CHAIRMAN,
MURSHIDABAD MUNICIPALITY
in
WPA/23325/2017
M/S B M CONSTRUCTION
VS
THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS

Mr. Partha Pratim Roy,
Mr. Sarbananda Sanyal,
Mr. Samrat Chakraborty, Advocates
... ... For the Petitioner

Mr. Subrata Ghosh, Advocate
... ... For the alleged contemnor

1. Learned advocate appearing for the alleged contemnor submits that, in a public interest litigation being WPA(P) 13 of 2024, an investigation into the affairs of the municipality was directed. He submits that, Criminal Investigation Department submitted a report which speaks of huge scam. He submits that, due to pendency of the present contempt petition, appropriate steps could not be taken by the municipality.
2. Municipality is at liberty to take appropriate steps, if criminal liabilities are found on the basis of the report or otherwise, as against the persons involved. Pendency of the contempt petition should not be construed to be a bar in that regard.
3. The municipality will submit a report in the form of an affidavit on the next date.

4. List the contempt petition in the **monthly list of June, 2026.**

(Debangsu Basak, J.)