



2026:CHC-AS:1233

13.08.2025
Item No. 20
Ct. No. 30/AN

WPA 13625 of 2025
State Bank of India
vs.
Union of India & ors.

Mr. Soumyo Majumder, Id. Sr. Adv.
Mr. Debasish Saha
... for the petitioner

Mr. Ashok Prasad
Mr. Goutam Sardar
... for the U.O.I.

Mr. Ratul Das
Mr. Ashutosh Singh
... for respondent no. 3

Supplementary affidavit filed, as per leave granted, is taken on record. Supplementary written note filed is also taken on record.

On perusal of the materials on record it is the case of the petitioners that the persons in respect of whom the authority concerned has passed the order under challenge are persons engaged as caretakers for cleaning, sweeping etc.

It is further submitted that the these workers were not employed for security purpose and as such they are not entitled to the minimum wages as notified in respect of the security personnel.

The authority concerned in its findings has held that certain kind of work which has been designated to the caretakers-cum-cleaning staff relate to work to be carried



out by security personnel and, has directed, the petitioner to pay the minimum wages accordingly.

Being aggrieved, the petitioner is before this Court.

As it is submitted by the petitioner that the petitioner has engaged security agencies for manning the banks but not the ATMs, the petitioner is granted liberty to file supplementary affidavit enclosing any independent agreement engaging security agencies for manning the bank.

Let the matter be fixed for further hearing on 27.08.2025.

(Shampa Dutt (Paul), J.)