

**30.06.2025**  
Item No.97  
Ct. No.35  
dc.

**W.P.A. 12900 of 2025**

**Mrs. Lolita Lekha (nee Majumdar)**  
**versus**  
**The State of West Bengal & Ors.**

Mr. Ayan Bhattacharjee,  
Mr. Pratik Majumder,  
Mr. Snehasish Dey ... For the Petitioner.

Mr. Suman Sengupta,  
Ms. Amrita Panja Moulick ... For the State.

Affidavit-of-service filed in Court today be kept with the record.

Report submitted by the learned advocate appearing for the State be also kept with the record.

The petitioner is aggrieved by the fact that on her own land, she is raising construction in respect of the demarcation of the property which was effected pursuant to the direction passed by the High Court. However, she complains that she is being resisted by the members of the respondent Club.

Police authorities on enquiry did not find any sort of disturbance or resistance being created.

Let the writ petition appear under the same heading in the monthly list of August, 2025.

In the meantime, if the petitioner faces inconvenience, petitioner will complain to the police authorities as it is seen lastly when a survey work was carried out in the year 2023, a co-ordinate Bench of this Hon'ble Court on 14.03.2023 in WPA

23892 of 2019 was pleased to direct to provide police assistance.

It is directed that the police authorities ordinarily will not interfere until and unless directions are passed by a court, but in this case it is reflected from the police report that earlier a writ petition was filed being W.P. No. 25874(W) of 2010 wherein direction was passed on 18.04.2011. Another writ petition being WPA 23892 of 2019 was filed wherein a co-ordinate Bench of this Hon'ble Court on 14.03.2023 was pleased to pass direction upon the concerned Commissioner of Police for taking appropriate measures in case of demarcation to be done by the surveyor. As such, the petitioner's demarcation throughout was not very smooth. Consequently, if the petitioner intends to raise a boundary wall and is resisted by persons not authorised to disturb the peaceful enjoyment of property of the petitioner, police authorities would ensure that without any order from a court of law, the petitioner's construction relating to boundary wall is not interfered with by the private respondent.

Petitioner would be at liberty to mention the matter after serving notice upon the learned advocate for the State.

All concerned parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

**(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)**